

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

UNILOC USA, INC., et al. §
§
v. § CASE NO. 6:14-cv-625
E-MDS, INC. §

UNILOC USA, INC., et al. §
§
v. § CASE NO. 6:14-cv-692
E-MDS, INC. §

**ORDER CONSOLIDATING AND REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO NOTIFY THE COURT
WHEN CASE IS READY FOR SCHEDULING**

The Court previously consolidated nine related cases and designated *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. E-MDs, Inc.*, as the lead case (6:14-cv-625, Doc. No. 11). The consolidation was done for pretrial case management purposes. No significant proceedings have occurred in the consolidated action, and the Court has not entered a scheduling order.

Plaintiff recently filed an additional related case (listed above). In view of the related nature of the consolidated action and the recently filed case, they are hereby consolidated for all pretrial purposes, including discovery and claim construction. Cause number **6:14-cv-625**, *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. E-MDs, Inc.*, is designated as the lead case. The Court defers any decisions regarding consolidation for trial.

In view of this consolidation, the Clerk of the Court shall add the consolidated defendants to the lead case, as well as the lead counsel only. Any other counsel who wish to appear in the lead case shall file a notice of appearance in that case.

All documents (including motions challenging venue¹ or jurisdiction) must be filed (or refiled, as appropriate) in the lead case (6:14-cv-625) to be considered by the Court.

The Court further **ORDERS** Plaintiff to file a notice of readiness for scheduling conference in the lead case when all Defendants have either answered or filed a motion to transfer or dismiss.² The notice must be filed within five days of the last remaining Defendant's answer or motion. The notice must include a list of any pending motions to dismiss or transfer and a list of any other related cases filed in the Eastern District of Texas involving the same patent(s).

Within five days of this order, the Plaintiff also must file a notice indicating whether Plaintiff anticipates filing any additional related cases in this district.

It is SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 19th day of August, 2014.



MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ This pretrial consolidation—done for case management purposes—does not impact individual parties' rights to challenge venue as their rights existed prior to this consolidation. See *In re EMC Corp.*, 677 F.3d 1351, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2012).

² Plaintiff should not delay filing the notice because of later-filed, related cases.